Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS INC, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS INC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-01300-JSW (MEJ)

DISCOVERY ORDER

Re: Dkt. No. 199

On August 12, 2014, the parties filed a joint discovery dispute letter regarding whether ChriMar has properly claimed attorney-client privilege over an e-mail sent by John Austermann, a named inventor of the patent-in-suit, to himself. Dkt. No. 199. ChriMar has submitted the e-mail for in camera review, and the Court conducted a telephonic conference on August 19, 2014. At the conference, the Court informed the parties that the e-mail did not appear to be a privileged communication, but it gave ChriMar the opportunity to submit a declaration from Glenn Forbis showing otherwise by August 22, 2014. Dkt. No. 204. ChriMar has now notified the Court that it will not be submitting a declaration from Mr. Forbis. Dkt. No. 212. Accordingly, the Court finds that ChriMar has not met its burden of proving the existence of the privilege, and must therefore produce the e-mail to Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 25, 2014

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge